Areas Served by Public Transit

- **Urbanized Areas with Transit**
- **Rural Areas with Transit**
- **Areas Not Served**

56 Public Transit Systems – 18 urban/small urban, 38 rural
Overview

- New annual report developed to improve:
  - Information on transit system performance
  - Accountability of DRPT and transit operators

- Based on data from National Transit Database
  - The Federal Transit Administration's primary national database for statistics on the transit industry

- Key transit performance indicators
  - Ridership
  - System Efficiency
  - System Effectiveness
  - State Government Assistance
  - Local Government Assistance
  - Total Transit Miles Operated
  - Farebox Recovery
  - Average Age of Vehicles

- Data Comparisons
  - Commonwealth versus national average, neighboring states, and the leading state for each key transit performance indicator using FY2002-FY2006
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Virginia Ridership Grew 20%
5 Times The National Average

FY2002 - FY2006

- Virginia
- Maryland
- North Carolina
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- National
- Wyoming - Leading State
Virginia Ridership By Mode - FY2006

- Bus - 46% (84,213,247)
- Rail (WMATA) - 51.8% (94,642,466)
- Commuter Rail (VRE) - 2% (3,569,664)
- Ferry - 0.2% (352,982)
Key Performance Indicators

Transit Miles Operated – Virginia grew by **20%** compared to 7% nationally

![Bar chart showing transit miles operated from FY2002 to FY2006 for Virginia, Maryland, North Carolina, Tennessee, National, and Wyoming - Leading State. Virginia shows a significant increase.]
Key Performance Indicators

Operating cost per trip - Virginia is lower than national average and neighboring states

FY2006

[Bar chart showing operating cost per trip for Virginia, Maryland, North Carolina, Tennessee, National, and Hawaii - Leading State.
Key Performance Indicators

Trips Per Hour – Virginia served more trips per vehicle revenue hour than neighboring states

FY2006
Key Performance Indicators

Farebox Recovery – Virginia had a higher recovery rate than neighboring states

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FY2006</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Virginia</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maryland</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Carolina</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tennessee</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York - Leading State</td>
<td>55%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Key Performance Indicators

Average Age of Vehicles – Virginia vehicles are 21% below the national average

FY2006

Virginia
Maryland
North Carolina
North Carolina
Tennessee
National
Wyoming - Leading State
## Overall Performance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Performance Indicator</th>
<th>Trend</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ridership</td>
<td>↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System Efficiency</td>
<td>↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System Effectiveness</td>
<td>↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Government Assistance</td>
<td>↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Government Assistance</td>
<td>↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Transit Miles Operated</td>
<td>↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farebox Recovery</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Age of Vehicles</td>
<td>↓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Summary/Next Steps

- Publish Final Report - 12/31/07

- Begin data collection for FY2007

- Performance Review Program:
  - Focused on local goals, system efficiency, system effectiveness
  - Local workshops anticipated in January 2008
Virginia Department of Rail and Public Transportation

The Smartest Distance Between Two Points