
### I-95/I-395 Transit/TDM Study

CTB Project Briefing January 2008



### Study Background

- I-95/I-395 HOT Lanes Project is consistent with I-495 HOT Lanes Project in that it supports improved transit services and operations
- I-95/I-395 HOT Lanes project scope includes significant benefits for transit
  - 3,000 new park and ride spaces in the corridor
  - 33 new entry/exit ramp facilities
  - Lorton in-line Bus Rapid Transit station
  - 28 mile extension of the existing HOV lanes
- Transit/TDM Study conducted by DRPT with the project's Technical Advisory Committee to recommend investment strategy for \$195 million being committed by private sector to transit <u>above and beyond</u> the HOT Lanes Project scope.




#### Study Background

- Funding for improvements would come from a combination of HOT Lanes funding for Transit/TDM, farebox revenues, and Federal discretionary funds
- Revenue dedicated to Transit/TDM improvements is subject to final negotiation by VDOT and Fluor Transurban and <u>allocation by the CTB</u>
- Study recommendation will be used to update the January 2008 MWCOG CLRP



## Study Corridor





## Goals of Transit/TDM Improvements

- Goal 1 Preserve transit and HOV ridership while implementing HOT lanes.
  - Implement improvements to help maintain current market share for transit, carpools and vanpools.
- Goal 2 Utilize new HOT lane features to attract new transit and HOV riders.
  - Use a corridor management approach to improve existing service and serve new markets.



### Existing Services in Corridor,

Existing Transit Services (Peak Hour/One Direction)

#### **Bus Service:**

- 90+ buses / hour operating in the northern portion of the corridor
- 12 buses / hour operating in the southern portion of the corridor

#### **Rail Service:**

- 10 Metrorail trains / hour
- 2 Virginia Railway Express trains / hour
- 1 Amtrak train / hour

#### Existing TDM Programs and Services

- 500 Vanpools (10,000 daily passenger trips)
- 21 Park-and-Ride Lots
- 19 Slug Locations
  - 5 Rideshare/Employer Services Programs
- VanStart/VanSave



## Tiered Transit/TDM Alternatives

#### Three Tiers Designated:

- Low: Approximately \$250 million
- Medium: Approximately \$500 million
- High: Unconstrained cost

#### Low Alternative (\$250 million)

100% increase in existing bus service, expanded VRE capacity **Definition - Baseline plus:** 

- Bus service modifications (frequency, routes)
- New Express Bus Routes
- VRE service improvements (eight car trains in the peak, expand four station platforms)
- Improved Shuttle Services, Transit Centers, Stations and Park-n-Ride Facilities
- TDM Program Improvements (marketing, signage, carpool/vanpool incentives, rideshare operational support)
- Park-n-Ride Improvements



## Tiered Transit/TDM Alternatives

#### Medium Alternative (\$500 million)

145% increase in existing bus service, Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) system and 45% increase in VRE service

#### **Definition - Baseline and Low Alternative plus:**

- BRT System (including 5 in-line stations)
- Increase VRE/Amtrak Fredericksburg Line trains from 14 to 20 trains and increase storage
- Three new transit centers
- TDM Improvements (vanpool/telework financial assistance, rideshare program operational support)
- Park-n-Ride Improvements



## Tiered Transit/TDM Alternatives

High Alternative (unconstrained)

145% increase in existing bus service, 130% increase in VRE service and Metrorail extension

## Definition - Baseline, Low and Medium Alternatives plus:

- Metrorail extension (Franconia-Springfield to Potomac Mills Mall)
- Increase VRE/Amtrak Fredericksburg Line trains from 20-32 trains, 2 new stations and storage
- New BRT Route
- TDM Improvements (vanpool financial assistance, statewide Guaranteed Ride Home program, pilot facilitated rideshare
- Park-n-Ride Improvements



## Snapshot of Baseline and Tiered Enhancements at I-95 Cut-Line South of Springfield Area

Alternative	Bus	VRE	Metrorail
Existing	40/hour	14/day	
CLRP	66/hour	14/day*	
Low	82/hour	14/day**	
		(+6 cars)	
Medium	97/hour	20/day	
High	98/hour	32/day	10/day***



<sup>\*</sup> CLRP indicates a non-specific increase in service.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Low alternative adds railcars but does not change number of trains.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Adds Metrorail service in the South.

## Key Findings from Travel Forecasting.

- CLRP Baseline Alternative has significant service additions and is already a very strong performer
- Most difference among tested alternatives was in the competition among transit modes
- HOT lanes generally did not adversely impact transit or carpool mode share versus today
- The transit/TDM alternatives maintain high mode share in the corridor even with significant increases of travel in the corridor
- Many of the new proposed transit routes show strong ridership



### Key Findings from Market Research

- Awareness of HOT lanes is high. Nearly all sluggers (94%) are aware of the HOT lanes vs. 75% of SOV users.
- Sluggers are especially likely (71%) to believe that HOT lanes will discourage drivers from picking up sluggers.
- However, most transit and HOV commuters say they would not change their commute in any way when the HOT lanes are open and functional.

- 53% of SOV - 95% of Vanpoolers

- 81% of Carpoolers - 91% of Bus Riders

- 82% of Sluggers

- 86% of Train Riders

- Likelihood of using HOT lanes is highest among commuters from Spotsylvania, Stafford and Prince William
- 34% of SOVers say there is no park-and-ride lot located along their commute to catch express bus or there is a lot but it is usually full (12%). 24% say they don't know.
- Of those who do not have such a park-and-ride lot, 11% of SOVers say they would use one if it were available.



12 •

#### **Refined Alternative**

\$230 million (Capital) / \$417 million (Operating - 20 yrs)

- Carried forward strong performers and dropped elements that did not test well in the three tiered alternatives
- Strong performers included:
  - Service modifications to existing bus service
  - Selected new services
  - BRT concept
  - Transit centers and park-and-ride expansion



# Fiscally Constrained Alternative Investment Strategy Objectives

- Prioritize and phase the proposed improvements from the Refined Alternative
  - Productivity and rapid impact
  - Ease of implementation
  - Long-term regional network effects
- Identify and leverage all reasonably available funding sources for the proposed improvements
- Protect and respect currently planned and programmed transit improvements and associated funding sources
- Identify services and facilities that require further design work



# Fiscally Constrained Alternative Funding Assumptions

- Funding Assumptions:
  - \$195 million HOT lanes lump sum
  - \$40 million in Federal discretionary funding
  - \$63 million in farebox recovery
     \$298 million TOTAL
- Farebox recovery figure is derived from the actual services proposed
- Greater level of facility improvements in recommended program provides less revenue for operating than in original CLRP submission



## Fiscally-Constrained Alternative Recommended Services/Facilities

#### \$137 million (Capital) / \$161 million (Operating – 20 yrs)

- Service Modifications
  - Bus frequency increases
  - Bus service extensions
  - Increase VRE train length to eight cars
- New Services
  - Shirlington to Rosslyn
  - Central Prince William to Downtown Alexandria
  - Kingstowne to Shirlington to Pentagon
  - Woodbridge to Lorton/Tyson's to Merrifield
  - Lake Ridge to Seminary Road Area
  - Fredericksburg to Pentagon/Crystal City
  - Fredericksburg to Washington, DC
  - Massaponax to Washington, DC
    - Lorton VRE Station to EPG/Ft. Belvoir (new shuttle)



## Fiscally-Constrained Alternative

#### Recommended Services/Facilities

- Facility Improvements
  - New and Improved Transit Centers:
    - Pentagon Metrorail station
    - Franconia-Springfield Metrorail station
    - Massaponax Transit Center
  - Five in-line BRT stations along HOT lane corridor
  - VRE Fredericksburg Line platform extensions at four stations
  - Increased overnight parking for VRE trains in Fredericksburg
  - Additional park-and-ride spaces and/or lots
- TDM Program Elements
  - Capital assistance for vanpools
  - Enhanced Guaranteed Ride Home program
  - Financial incentives for vanpools and carpools
  - Rideshare program operational support
  - TDM program marketing support
  - Telework program assistance
    I-95/I-395 Transit/TDM Study



### Next Steps,

- January February 2008
  - Revise CLRP Submission
  - Final Report Issued
  - Advance necessary environmental and preliminary engineering work on Bus Rapid Transit





