

STATE OF GOOD REPAIR PRIORITIZATION PROCESS METHODOLOGY AND FY 2022 PERCENTAGE FUND DISTRIBUTION

Commonwealth Transportation Board

State of Good Repair Prioritization Process Methodology and Percentage Fund Distribution

Background

- CTB developed and last approved the State of Good Repair Prioritization Process
 Methodology on June 14, 2016 to establish a priority ranking system methodology for
 structurally deficient bridges and deteriorated pavements
- CTB developed and last approved the Primary Extension Improvement Policy on October 17, 2019 to govern selection of municipality-maintained primary extension paving projects
- CTB last approved the State of Good Repair Percentage Fund Distribution Chart on May 16, 2018 for use in selecting projects for funding in the FY2019-2024 SYIP



State of Good Repair Prioritization Process Methodology and Percentage Fund Distribution

Background

- VDOT has updated the Percentage Fund Distribution based on the needs identified in the 2020 Biennial Report of the Commissioner of Highways pursuant to § 33.2-232 for use in selecting projects for funding in the FY2022-2027 SYIP
- VDOT recommends consideration and approval of minor modifications to the previously approved methodology in order to simplify, reorganize and create consistency with other Board policies (such as SMART SCALE and the SYIP Development Policy)



State of Good Repair Percentage Fund Distribution

FY 2019 Distribution Percentages									
District	District Percentages	VDOT		Locality					
		Pavement	Bridge	Pavement	Bridge				
Bristol	12.53%	18%	60%	2%	20%				
Salem	11.40%	25%	59%	6%	10%				
Lynchburg	6.39%	22%	63%	9%	6%				
Richmond	17.50%	17%	71%	4%	8%				
Hampton Roads	17.50%	3%	37%	26%	34%				
Fredericksburg	11.66%	11%	85%	1%	3%				
Culpeper	6.39%	26%	40%	3%	31%				
Staunton	10.23%	27%	64%	6%	3%				
Northern Virginia	6.39%	24%	65%	10%	1%				

FY 2022 Proposed Distribution Percentages								
District	District Percentages	VDOT		Locality				
	1 Groomages	Pavement	Bridge	Pavement	Bridge			
Bristol	12.76%	14%	63%	2%	21%			
Salem	11.00%	19%	65%	4%	11%			
Lynchburg	6.28%	18%	70%	4%	7%			
Richmond	17.50%	10%	78%	3%	9%			
Hampton Roads	17.50%	5%	40%	18%	37%			
Fredericksburg	11.95%	8%	88%	1%	3%			
Culpeper	6.28%	15%	47%	2%	36%			
Staunton	10.45%	28%	66%	3%	3%			
Northern Virginia	6.28%	23%	71%	4%	1%			

^{*}Numbers may not add to 100% due to rounding.

Per Code, no district shall receive more than 17.5% or less than 5.5% of the total funding allocated in any given year.



State of Good Repair Prioritization Process Methodology

Modifications do not make substantive changes to the current policy or process

- Reorganize to make the policy more consistent with the SMART SCALE Policy in terms of format and to eliminate separate attachments
- Add standard policy language referencing the Board's SYIP Development Policy and other existing legislative requirements
- Add language regarding scope changes and surplus funds, consistent with the Board's SMART SCALE Policy and current practice
- Incorporate and replace the Board's separate Primary Extension Improvement Policy
- Update process to reflect use of the SMART Portal for localities to submit requests for funding
- Add language directing the Department to update the Percentage Fund Distribution provided that it is done in a manner that takes into consideration the factors outlined in the Code



Next Steps

February CTB Meeting request approval for updates to the State of Good Repair Prioritization Process Methodology and Percentage Fund Distribution

Apply updated Percentage Fund Distribution in selection of projects for funding in the FY2022-2027 SYIP



