







#### COMMONWEALTH of VIRGINIA Office of the \_\_\_\_\_\_ SECRETARY of TRANSPORTATION

# Transportation Performance Management Mid-Term Performance

Margie Ray Office of Intermodal Planning and Investment July 14, 2020







# Performance Management Background

- MAP-21 Federal Law Established performance targets for:
  - Asset Condition: Pavements and Bridges
  - System Performance
  - Congestion
  - Air Quality
  - Safety
- HB2241/SB1331 (2017) Board to establish
  performance targets for surface transportation

# Performance Management Background - Federal Requirements

- Baseline Performance Period is CY 2017
- State establishes 4-year targets (CY 2021) for all measures and 2-year targets (CY 2019) for some measures
- Baseline Performance Report submitted October 1, 2018
- Mid-Term Performance Report due October 1, 2020
  - States have the opportunity to adjust 4-year targets
  - Requires explanation for 2-year targets not achieved and what will be done to achieve the 4-year targets
- FHWA Determination of Significant Progress
  - If significant progress is not made, state must:
    - Document actions to achieve targets
    - Depending on performance measure, may have funding and/or reporting impacts

# Asset Condition Performance Management Performance Measures

Asset Condition Measure	Scope
Percentage of Pavement in Good Condition	Interstate
Percentage of Pavement in Poor Condition	Interstate
Percentage of Pavement in Good Condition	Non-Interstate NHS
Percentage of Pavement in Poor Condition	Non-Interstate NHS
Percentage of Deck Area of Bridges in Good Condition	NBI on NHS
Percentage of Deck Area of Bridges in Poor Condition	NBI on NHS

NHS - National Highway System NBI - National Bridge Inventory

# Asset Condition Performance Management Background

- Focus on the National Highway System (NHS) - limited portion of the network (<15%) for which VDOT is responsible</li>
- Measures relate only to pavement and bridges in Good and Poor condition
- Targets initially established based on trend analysis and modeling
- <u>Federal Targets</u> were adopted by the CTB in September 2018



# Asset Condition Performance Management Background - Maintenance and Operations Comprehensive Review

Pursuant to 2019 Acts of Assembly, Enactment 2 of Chapters 83 and 349, VDOT conducted a detailed analysis to establish long term sustainable performance targets for pavements, bridges and Special Structures

- Focused on network funded by VDOT's Maintenance and Operations and State of Good Repair Programs to include, Interstate, Primary and Secondary systems
- Established new statewide performance measures and targets
  - Pavement measures based on Critical Condition Index and % sufficient, includes thresholds based on traffic volume (AADT) for primary and secondary roads
  - Bridge measures based on General Condition Rating and % not Structurally Deficient
- Modified investment strategy to be more comprehensive and strategic resulting in a more balanced approach to asset management

Statewide Performance Measures and Targets adopted by CTB in December 2019

# Interstate Pavement Performance Management How are we doing?



# Non-Interstate NHS Pavement Performance Management How are we doing?



# Pavement Performance Management How are we Doing?

Measure (Percent)	CTB Adopted Targets (percent)		Performance (percent)			Trend / Target Achievement
	2-yr	4-yr	2017	2018	2019	
Pavement in Good Condition (interstate)	45.0	45.0	57.8	57.5	57.9	Improving/ Meeting Target
Pavement in Poor Condition (interstate)	<3.0	<3.0	0.6	0.3	0.3	Improving/ Meeting target
Pavement in Good Condition (non- interstate NHS)	25.0	25.0	33.5	34.8	36.7	Improving/ Meeting target
Pavement in Poor Condition (non- interstate NHS)	<5.0	<5.0	0.9	0.9	0.9	Improving/ Meeting target

# Pavement Performance Management Discussion on Performance

- Based on work conducted through the Comprehensive Review and modified investment strategies it is projected that 4-year targets can be achieved
- No change to CTB adopted 4-year targets are proposed

# **Bridge Performance Management** How are we doing?



# Bridge Performance Management How are we doing?



# Bridge Performance Management How are we Doing?

Measure (Percent)	CTB Adopted Targets (percent)		Performance (percent)*			Trend / Target Achievement
	2-yr	4-yr	2017	2018	2019	
Deck Area of NHS Bridges in Good Condition	33.5	33.0	34.3	32.6	32.0	Declining/ Not Meeting Target
Deck Area of NHS Bridges in Poor Condition	3.5	3.0	3.4	3.7	3.1	Improving/ Meeting Target

\*Performance Year in this table correlates to data that is formalized in the following year. Accordingly, data provided in this chart for a particular year corresponds to the data shown for the following year in the bar charts provided in the previous two slides

# **Bridge Performance Management Targets and Anticipated Performance**

Bridge Targets and Anticipated Performance							
Performance	Target	Anticipated					
Measure	period	laiget	Performance				
Percentage of Deck Area in	2 yr target	33.5%	31.8%				
Good Condition	4 yr target	30.5%*	30.8%				
Percentage of Deck Area in	2 yr target	3.5%	2.6%				
Poor (Structurally Deficient) Condition	4 yr target	3.0%	2.6%				

\* Proposed change to the 4-yr target for percentage of deck area in Good condition.

# Percentage of Deck Area in Good Condition Discussion on Performance

#### **Reasons for Lower than Anticipated Good Deck Area Performance**

- The 2018 baseline percentage should have been approximately 1.6% lower
  - Database did not include all border and federally-owned bridges
  - Data inconsistency issue (e.g. bridge width as 4,000' vs. 40')
- Programmed projects based on the prior established performance measures and reducing the number of Poor bridges
  - State of Good Repair funding is only available for poor bridges
  - Most replacement bridges since 2018 have been on Non-NHS routes
  - Focused on preservation, restoration, rehabilitation of Fair and Poor bridges

# The target adjustment is recommended to align targets with current best estimate of performance.

# Percentage of Deck Area in Good Condition Discussion on Performance

Factors affecting the 4-year percentage of deck area in good condition projections:

- Funding continues at current levels
- On-time completion of several large and P3 projects with new bridges entering the inventory
  - Construction completion dates in late 2021 so slight acceleration or delay in schedule could affect good deck area
- Bridge deterioration rates continue at historical trends

# Asset Condition Performance Management Discussion on Performance

#### **Comprehensive Review included several key assumptions**

- General decline of "Good" performance to allow for a long term sustainable outcome
- Pavement program requires additional investment
  - 2020 General Assembly passed legislation and Governor signed into law to provide additional funding for pavements and special structures
- No increased funding necessary for the bridge program (excludes special structures)
  - Changes to § 33.2-369. State of good repair required for bridge preservation approach
    - Current language limits use of funds for reconstruction and replacement of structurally deficient bridges and reconstruction and rehabilitation of deteriorated pavement on the Interstate and primary systems
    - No changes made in 2020 General Assembly session

# System Performance Management Performance Measures – Reliability, Congestion and Air Quality

System Performance Measures	Scope
Percentage of Person-Miles Traveled that are Reliable	Interstate
Percentage of Person-Miles Traveled that are Reliable	Non-Interstate NHS
Truck Travel Time Reliability Index	Interstate
Annual Hours of Peak Hour Excessive Delay Per Capita*	NHS
Percentage of Non-SOV Travel*	NHS
Total Emission Reductions for Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC)	CMAQ Projects
Total Emission Reductions for Nitrogen Oxides (NOx)	CMAQ Projects

# System Performance Management Travel Time Reliability - Background Percent Reliable Person Miles Traveled

Interstate / Non-Interstate NHS Travel Time Reliability Measure:

- Level of Travel Time Reliability (LOTTR): 80<sup>th</sup> % TT/ 50<sup>th</sup> % TT
- A segment is reliable if <u>all</u> time periods are reliable (<1.5)



# System Performance Measures Travel Time Reliability - Background Truck Travel Time Reliability (TTTR) Index

- Examines each segment of the Interstate during five time periods
  - Weekdays 6a to 10a; 10a to 4p; and 4p to 8p
  - Weekends 6a to 8p
  - Overnight (all days) 8p to 6a
- Objective is to improve reliability for trucking industry in order to predict buffer time needed for "on-time delivery"
  - Measure looks at the ratio of the truck travel time for the 95th % TT to 50th % TT
  - utilizes the maximum (worst) TTTR for the 5 time periods for each interstate segment multiplied by the segment length / total length of the interstate

# System Performance Measures Travel Time Reliability - Background

Measures relate only to the worst time period for a roadway segment

- all person miles from a road segment are considered unreliable even if only one of the four time periods is unreliable
- utilizes worst truck travel time for each segment from five time periods
- time periods may not best represent peak travel conditions
- not sensitive to the types of projects, strategies, or policies we want to evaluate
  - Improving from 3.2 to 1.7 would still be considered unreliable and not reflective of the improved reliability

# More time and data are necessary to better understand the measure

# System Performance Management How are we Doing?

Measure	CTB Adopted Targets		Performance			Trend / Target Achievement
	2-yr	4-yr	2017	2018	2019*	
Person-Miles Traveled that are Reliable - Interstate	82.2%	82.0%	82.2%	82.4%	83.5%	Improving/ Meeting Target
Person-Miles Traveled that are Reliable - Non-Interstate NHS**	n/a	82.5%	86.8%	88.0%	88.9%	Improving/ Meeting Target
Truck Travel Time Reliability Index	1.53	1.56	1.48	1.58	1.53	Challenging/ Meeting Target
Annual Hours of Peak Hour Excessive Delay Per Capita***	n/a	26.7	23.0	24.2	23.0	No Change/ Meetinging Target
Percentage of Non-SOV Travel***	36.9%	37.2%	36.6%	36.6%	n/a	No Change/ Not Meeting Target

\* Estimated performance

\*\* Source of data is from 2019 OIPI Biennial Report

\*\*\* Northern Virginia only, 2019 Percentage of Non-SOV Travel unavailable until Fall 2020

# System Performance Management Performance Discussion

- Percentage Non-SOV Travel performance measure is not meeting targets
- No changes are proposed to the CTB adopted 4-year targets for reliability measures and PHED
- Data utilized for calculation of reliability measures has been determined to have inconsistencies from year to year
  - Inconsistencies in the data and mapping may result in only small changes to the metrics, but these can alter the direction of the trend
  - Data quality is improving and variability decreasing
- Limited ability to conduct trend analysis
  - no historical context
  - data availability and variability

# System Performance Management - Reliability Performance Discussion

- VDOT monitoring and actively managing incidents, workzones and other events to minimize travel time variations.
- Research underway to better understand
  - causes of unreliable conditions
  - investment strategies that can improve reliability
  - where performance changed and why
  - better predict future performance
- Continued work to identify other measures which may be more reflective of desired outcomes

# System Performance Management - Non-SOV Travel Performance Discussion

- Gas prices have fallen and stayed low, which encourages driving.
- Car ownership is up; particularly for low-income households now having access to at least one vehicle.
- While trends in public transportation ridership appeared to be recovering, ridership had been below projections at WMATA and other transit systems.
- TNC/ride-hailing services have affected transit ridership, these drivers may be adding to SOV travel while in between customers.



# System Performance Management - Air Quality CMAQ Emissions Reduction Measure

- Total Emissions Reduction is the cumulative 2-year and 4-year reported emission reductions for:
  - All programmed projects using CMAQ funds
  - Applicable criteria for pollutants and or their precursors
    - Only applies to Northern Virginia (TPB)
    - Applicable Pollutants: volatile organic compounds (VOC) and nitrogen oxides (NOx)
- Applicable State DOTs and MPOs must coordinate and collectively establish a methodology for developing targets

# System Performance Management CMAQ Emissions Reduction How are we Doing?



FY 14-19 Emission Reductions (kg/day)

# System Performance Management CMAQ Emissions Reduction Measure Baseline

#### FY 14-17 Project Types



- Transit Improvements
- Congestion and Traffic Flow
- Ride Sharing
- Bicycle and Pedestrian
- Travel Demand Management
- Alternative Fuel Vehicles
- I/M Programs

# System Performance Management - Air Quality How are we Doing?

Measure	CTB A Targ	dopted sets*	Performance			Trend / Target Achievement	
	2-yr	4-yr	Baseline* *	2017	2018	2019	
Total Emission Reductions for Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC)	1.721	1.985	3.499	2.532	2.061	2.430	Improving/ Meeting Target
Total Emission Reductions for Nitrogen Oxides (NOx)	3.744	4.230	5.369	4.074	3.843	5.225	Improving/ Meeting Target

\* Based on CMAQ Programmed Projects in Northern Virginia

\*\* Baseline conditions represent average emission reductions for FY 2014-2017

# **Next Steps**

- Provide feedback on proposed target adjustments
  - Percentage of Deck Area in Good Condition for Bridges
- Provide update on potential target adjustments
  - Percentage Non-SOV Travel
- Adopt changes to targets at the next meeting
- Evaluate travel impacts to targets and report back to the CTB, especially as to changes in
  - meeting adopted targets and
  - affecting performance
- Evaluate impacts to performance and targets based on implementation of new legislation









## COMMONWEALTH of VIRGINIA Office of the SECRETARY of TRANSPORTATION





