



COMPREHENSIVE REVIEW PAVEMENTS AND STRUCTURES

Stephen C. Brich, P.E., Commissioner of Highways

September 17, 2019



Note: Funding and Activities based on previous three fiscal year averages (FY 2016 - FY 2018); numbers are rounded to the nearest \$5 million

VDOT

Pavements

Virginia Department of Transportation



Pavement Assessment Process



Virginia Department of Transportation

VDOT

Pavement Rating – Critical Condition Index



Current State of the Pavement - Interstate





Current State of the Pavement - Primary



Current State of the Pavement - Secondary



Virginia Department of Transportation

VDOT

Pavements – Long Term Sustainability

Analysis undertaken to define a sustainable solution

- Reviewed historical performance
- Cost to achieve the current performance targets?
 - Current policy: 82% for Interstate, 82% for Primary, and 65% for Secondary
- Cost to maintain the current performance?
 - Current performance: 90% for Interstate, 85% for Primary, and 60% for Secondary
- What can be achieved with different investment levels?
 - Current investment: \$60M Interstate, \$165M Primary, \$200M Secondary
- What if tiered targets were considered for the Interstate, Primary and Secondary systems?
- Evaluated different analysis time periods
 - Minimum of 20 years
- Assessed employing different maintenance strategies

Pavements – Long Term Sustainability

Performance Measure Description	Current Policy (% Sufficiency)	Current Condition (% Sufficiency)
Interstate	82% No Section CCI less than 35	90%
Primary	82%	85%
Secondary	65%	60%

Note: Presented to the CTB in June 2017 and June 2018

Interstate – Comparison 90% vs. 82% Sufficiency

Interstate Current Investment: \$60M per year, FY 2020

	Net Present Value			
Interstate System	Years 1-6	Years 7-20	Total, Billions	Total, Billions
90%	\$ 113	\$ 97	\$ 2.04	\$ 1.41
82%	\$ 88	\$ 111	\$ 2.08	\$ 1.40

*All amounts in 2019 dollars.

VDOT



Actual Expenditure

Current Investment



Virginia Department of Transportation

VDOT

Actual Expenditure

Current Investment



Actual Expenditure

Current Investment

Proposed Target – 82 %



Actual Expenditure

Current Investment

Proposed Target – 82 %



Secondary Network – Tiered Approach

- Secondary
 - Over 100,000 LM
 - Not meeting target (65%)
 - Current sufficiency: 60%
 - Top 5% of Secondary (~ 5,000 LM)
 - Over 75% truck traffic
 - Around 60% vehicle miles traveled

Secondary Current Condition and Traffic

AADT	Current %. Suff.	% Network	% Truck	% VMT
Above 3,500	54.8	5	75	59
Above 5,000	55.2	4	70	54

Why should we differentiate between high volume Secondary and low volume Primary?

Primary and Secondary Network – Tiered Approach

Primary Current Condition and Traffic								
AADT	Current %. Suff.	% Network	% Truck	% VMT				
Above 3,500	85.1	68	94	95				
Above 5,000	85.1	62	90	91				

Secondary Current Condition and Traffic

AADT	Current %. Suff.	% Network	% Truck	% VMT
Above 3,500	54.8	5	75	59
Above 5,000	55.2	4	70	54

Primary and Secondary Network – Tiered Approach

Primary Current Condition and Traffic					Seco	ondary Cu	rrent Cond	ition and Tr	affic
AADT	Current %. Suff.	% Network	% Truck	% VMT	AADT	Current %. Suff.	% Network	% Truck	% VMT
Above 3,500	85.1	68	94	95	Above 3,500	54.8	5	75	59
Above 5,000	85.1	62	90	91	Above 5,000	55.2	4	70	54

Primary Current Investment: \$165M per year, FY 2020 Secondary Current Investment: \$200M per year, FY 2020

			AADT ≥ 3,500	AADT < 3,500	Avg. Total Cost
% Suff for > 3 500	% Suff for < 3 500	Ava Total Cost	82%		\$225M
/₀ Sun. 101 ≥ 3,300	78 Sun. 101 < 3,300	Avg. Iotal Cost	75%	C00/	\$221M
82%	75%	\$150M	70%	60%	\$219M
			65%		\$215M

Primary Network – 20 Year Outlook

Actual Expenditure

Current Investment



Secondary Network – 20 Year Outlook

Actual Expenditure

Current Investment

Proposed Targets ^{AADT} ≥ 3,500: 82% AADT < 3,500: 60% 100



Summary - Pavement Investment Options

Current investment: \$425M per year, FY 2020

	Avg. Total Cost per Year, \$ Millions							
21	DD	90	Y	/ears 1-	6	Y	ears 7-2	20
10	FK	30	IS	PR	SC	IS	PR	SC
Curre	Current Investment – Current Policy					111	193	203
82%	82% 82% 65%			\$486		\$507		
				(\$61)			(\$82)	
Curren	it Investment – Proposed	d Target	88	150	225	111	185	203
82%	82% 82% for ≥ 3,500 82% for ≥ 3,500 82% for ≥ 3,500 82% 75% for < 3,500			\$463			\$499	
				(\$38)			(\$74)	
Current Pc	olicy	Proposed Targets	*	All amo	ounts i	in 2019) dollar	S

Structures







Virginia Department of Transportation

Structures Inventory – by Type

Structure Inspection & Assessment Process





									X	×				
FRAZER, BRETT	r	Bridge 0416270-00	• 0000000	Facility Carried (107): RAMBLE ROAD	Inspection:	2016-07-06	6 (MIHS) ▼	Type: Regular I	(BI	O Metric	English)	
Br M Bridge		Inspection	> Con	dition										
AASHIO		Condition Rating												
BRIDGES	~	Deck (05 Superstructure (05 Substructure (06	8): N N/A (* 9): N N/A (* 0): N N/A (*	IBI) IBI) IBI)	Channel (061) Culvert (062) Waterway (071)	7 Minor Damag 8 No Major Pro 8 Equal Desira	je blem ble	Vali	date NBI ate SR	Converter Profile	e: BrM Default	alculate NBI	Y	
TUNNELS	~			,	Unrepaired Spalls	e [(SF)						
REPORTS	~	Element Conditio	ns											
	~		Hide Elem Ins	pection Details							Arrow Ke	ey Grid Navi	gation H	telp
ADMIN		Element: Elem # or	Elem Desc	Struct. Unit.: All	▼ Env.: All ▼	Clear Filters		() Q	uantity 🔍 Perc	ent		Ad	d Eleme	nt
INSPECTION	^	🕨 Elem. 🔺	Str. Un	it. 🔺 Env.	Element Description	Tot. Qty.	Units	Qty1	Qty2	Qty3	Qty4	_	_	
CONDITION		241	1	Low (2)	Re Conc Culvert	79	ft	79.000	0	0	0		<i>M</i> •	×
APPRAISAL		824	1	Low (2)	RC Wingwall	4	(EA)	4.000	0	0	0		H.	×
INVENTORY	≈	831	1	Low (2)	Culvert End/Headwall	2	(EA)	2.000	0	0	0		//	×
SCHEDULE		833	1	Low (2)	Roadway Ov. Culv.	1	(EA)	1.000	0	0	0		14	×
WORK	≈	854	1	Low (2)	Channel	1	(EA)	1.000	0	0	0		<u></u>	×
MULTIMEDIA													_	



Rating – General Condition Rating (GCR)

Condition Category	General Condition Rating (GCR)	Description
	9	Excellent
Good	8	Very Good
	7	Good
	6	Satisfactory
Fair	5	Fair
	4	Poor
_	3	Serious
Poor (Structurally	2	Critical
Deficient)	1	Imminent Failure
	0	Failed





Examples of Good, Fair, and Poor Bridges

Good

Fair on the "CUSP" of Poor







Poor (Structurally Deficient)





Structurally Deficient (SD) Structures Improved Since 2010





2019 SD Structures – Significant Improvement Since 2010



Next Challenge - 4,440 Structures on CUSP

- One inspection rating from becoming Poor (SD)
- Most can be rehabilitated & preserved at ~15% replacement cost
- Preservation decades of additional service life
- Average age = 62 years

Structures – Long Term Sustainability

Analysis undertaken to define a sustainable solution

- Reviewed historical performance
- Cost to achieve the current performance targets?
 - Current policy: 99% for Interstate, 96% for Primary, and 94% for Secondary
 - Current policy: 95.5% NBI and All Structures Not Structurally Deficient (SD)
- Cost to maintain the current performance?
 - Current performance: 99% for Interstate, 97% for Primary, and 96% for Secondary
- Reviewed overall condition of the inventory
- Is the best strategy for improved long term performance preservation?
 - Reviewed: 75% preservation and 25% replacement
 - No posting on Interstate
- Evaluated different analysis time periods
 - Minimum 20 years

Structures – Long Term Sustainability

Performance Measure Description	Current Policy (% Not-SD)	Current Condition (% Not-SD)
All Systems	95.5%	95.6%
Interstate	99%	98.8%
Primary	96%	96.8%
Secondary	94%	95.7%

Note: Presented to the CTB in June 2017 and June 2018

Virginia Department of Transportation

VDOT

Overall Funding Scenario

Current Investment

Maintenance and Operations	\$215M
State of Good Repair	\$225M
Total	\$440M
Fixed Costs	
Inspection (Federal Requirement)	\$38M
Routine Maintenance	\$10M
Emergencies	\$8M
Total	\$56M
Total Available	\$384M

VDOT

Interstate Network

98.3%

Actual Expenditure (\$M/Year)

\$117 \$117 _{\$112}

2017

97.6%^{97.8%}

\$98

2015

2016

Current Investment : \$113M/Year

Year 1

98.9%

\$143

2019

\$113

ł

2020

2022

2021

2023

2024

98.8%

98.6%

History of Performance (% Not-SD)

Year 2

Actual Expenditure Current Investment Current Target (99 % Not-SD) All investments in 2019 \$ Year 20 100

VDOT

2014

\$64

100

98

96

94

92

90

88

% Not Structurally Deficient (SD)

2018

Excludes Special Structures

2025

2026

Year 6

Year 10

94

2028

2027

Year

2029

2030

2031

2032

2033

\$161

• Delta = \$48M

2035

2036

2034

37

90

88

88 - 90%

2039

2038

2037





Overall Inventory Condition - Historical





Long Term Sustainability - Preservation Approach

- Focus on overall inventory condition
 - Not "Worst First"
- Current performance levels an additional \$122M/year to maintain
 - "Worst First" cost higher than proactive preservation
- Preservation approach maintains long term acceptable level of service
 - Uses existing funding level
 - Consistent with industry best practices Focus on balanced approach
- Remaining SDs are safe
 - Will continue to be monitored and programmed appropriately

Overall Funding Scenario

Preservation Activities and Investment Levels Evaluated (75%)

- Deck repair and preservation (overlays & joints)
- Superstructure repair (beam ends) and preservation
- Substructure repair and preservation
- Culvert (liners)

Replacement Activities (25%)

Components or whole structures



Excludes Special Structures

43



Excludes Special Structures

44



Excludes Special Structures

Summary - Structures Investment Options

Current investment: \$384M per year, FY 2020

Targets, % Not-SD				Avg. Total Cost per Year, \$ Millions		
IS	PR	SC	All Systems Average GCR	Years 1-50		
				IS	PR	SC
Current Investment – Current Policy					222	123
99%	96%	94%	N/A		\$506	
					(\$122)	
Current Investment – Proposed Target 113 158 11					113	
97% No Postings	93%	90%	Average GCR ≥ 5.6	\$384		
					\$0	
Current Policy Proposed Target *All amounts in 2019 dollars						

Maintenance and Operations Program Timeline

Description	Date
Special Structures and Routine Maintenance/Operations	October 2019
Comprehensive Review and Approval Request	November 2019
Submission to General Assembly	December 2019



