

### Transportation Enhancement Program

### FY2013 Application Update and Streamlining Opportunities

February 15, 2012 Jennifer DeBruhl Director, Local Assistance Division



### **Program Overview**

- Mandatory 10% STP Program Set-Aside
- 12 eligibility categories
- Current CTB Policy for FY13 allocation
  - \$4 Million for high priority statewide initiatives
  - 75% remaining distributed to 9 District members
  - 25% remaining distributed to 5 At-Large members
  - Fund a minimum of 50% of requested amount
  - Fully fund selection if request less than \$200,000 and fully funds project or phase



# Eligible Categories

- 1. Pedestrian and Bicycle Facilities
- 2. Pedestrian and Bicycle Safety and Education
- 3. Acquisition of Scenic or Historic Easements and Sites
- 4. Scenic or Historic Highway Programs, including Tourist and Welcome Centers
- 5. Landscaping and Scenic Beautification along Corridors
- 6. Historic Preservation
- 7. Rehabilitation of Historic Transportation Buildings, Structures or Facilities
- 8. Preservation of Abandoned Railway Corridors for Conversion to Trails
- 9. Inventory Control, and Removal of Outdoor Advertising
- 10. Archeological Planning and Research
- 11. Environmental Mitigation and Runoff Pollution and Provision of Wildlife Connectivity
- 12. Establishment of Transportation Museums



## FY13 Application Summary

- Received November 1, 2011
- 105 Applications requesting ~ \$48 M
- Anticipated allocations FY13~ \$22 M

Tentative Allocation Distribution							
High priority statewide initiatives	\$ 4.0 M						
District Members	\$ 13.5 M (~ \$1.5 M/ea.)						
At-Large Members	\$ 4.5 M						
Total	\$ 22.0 M*						

<sup>\*</sup> Does not include potential allocations made available through the deallocation process<sub>4</sub>



### **Project Scoring**

- New Projects have scores based on Scoring Criteria approved by CTB in 2006 (Range = 0-100)
- Existing Projects also have a Priority Ranking
  - Additional info to assess progress of existing projects
  - Focus on projects that will use funds quickly
  - Projects reviewed based on several indicators
  - Ranking from 1 to 5 (1-project ready for construction;
     5-initial stages of project development)
  - Projects with the same Priority Ranking are listed in order of initial Scoring Criteria score



# Program Overview-History

						# New	% New
		Applications	# Projects	# Requests	% Requests	Projects	Projects
Year	Allocations	Received	Selected	Fully Funded	Fully Funded	Selected	Selected
2002	\$19,406,000	210	130	29	22%	48	37%
2003	\$18,859,000	219	107	44	41%	26	24%
2004	\$14,855,000	243	107	36	34%	28	26%
2005	\$21,850,000	170	108	61	56%	40	37%
2006	\$17,278,000	173	89	46	52%	28	31%
2007	\$17,212,000	151	85	40	47%	27	32%
2008	\$22,279,130	155	102	57	56%	36	35%
2009	\$19,221,038	148	92	42	46%	22	24%
2010	\$21,968,332	136	72	53	74%	16	22%
2011	\$30,283,848	99	82	66	81%	0	0%
Totals	\$172,928,500	1704	<del>974</del>	474		271	
10-Year							
Average	\$17,292,850	170	97	47	51%	27	27%



### **District Summaries**

- Lists all projects in District since first selections in 1993
- Provides indication of current status (under construction, agreement pending, etc)
- Indicates first and each year that an allocation was provided and amount reimbursed to date
- Includes notes about those projects being considered for deallocation; if a 45 day letter was sent; and if a 2011 application was received



### **Deallocation Process**

#### Status:

- All active projects periodically reviewed for potential action based on progress
- Formally adopted by CTB December, 2010
- 148 projects submitted for financial closure since process initiated
- \$19.5 M has been identified for possible reallocation from 178 projects through this review process.
  - \$13.4 M of this has already been reallocated by the CTB
- Project sponsors are provided "45 day letters" as described in the Deallocation Process (currently 15 projects at this stage)
- As 45 day letters expire, the appropriate District CTB member will be contacted for a decision on deallocation or time extension
- Package includes funds currently available for reallocation by District CTB member based on deallocation policy and a list of projects subject to deallocation



### Review of Today's Package

- FY13 Application Summary Page
- Application Listing with Scores
- List of Ineligible/Cancelled Applications
- Copy of Current CTB Policy
- Copy of Current De-Allocation Process
- Funds Available for FY13 Re-Allocation based on prior Deallocation
- Listing of Projects for De-Allocation Consideration
- District Summaries
- Central Office Enhancement Staff Contact List



# Transportation Enhancement Program Improving Project Delivery



# Improving Project Delivery

- Three facets to improving enhancement program/project delivery:
  - Funding Policy
  - VDOT Oversight
  - Project Sponsor Implementation

 Actions have been taken in each of these areas to improve, but more can be done.



# Funding Policy – Actions Taken

- CTB Policy Adopted in December 2010:
  - Focused funding on existing projects
    - No applications for new projects in FY12
    - Established priority ranking for existing projects
  - Established higher project funding thresholds
    - Not less than 50% of the request
    - Fully fund selected projects requesting <\$200K</li>
  - Result has been increased expenditure rate and increase in completed projects, with fewer projects in the pipeline
- Staff provides regular program summaries to CTB members to advise them of project/program status



### Funding Policy – Recommendations

- Consider revisiting deallocation policy
  - Current process limits action until the 4 year time limit expires
  - Current process allows additional time with each additional allocation

Consider revisiting policy regarding funding requests



### VDOT Oversight – Actions Taken

- VDOT performing some functions for project sponsor
  - Environmental NEPA document preparation
- Tools to assist VDOT Project Coordinators
  - Project Coordinator Workshops
  - Enhanced written guidance
- Implemented informal two-step application process
- Established District Enhancement Coordinators



### VDOT Oversight – Recommendations

- Provide enhanced/targeted training
  - Focus on specific district needs
  - Comprehensive workshop for VDOT staff
- Take Better Advantage of Streamlining FHWA has already made available
  - Off right of way projects are exempted by FHWA from many federal requirements
  - Streamlining DBE and pre-award audit processes
- Consider formalizing 2-step application process
  - Ensure projects receiving funding are realistic
  - Provide opportunity for project/budget modification before formal application



### Sponsor Delivery – Actions Taken

- Enhanced Training/Outreach
  - On line training modules for localities administering projects
  - Modified sponsor workshops to allow for more tailored topic specific training
  - Enhancement Manual
  - Surveyed to identify training preferences
- Implemented Quarterly Reporting for Project Sponsors
- Implemented Deallocation Process



### Sponsor Delivery -Recommendations

- Survey Enhancement Project Sponsors on issues that have the greatest impact on their ability to deliver projects
- Provide enhanced/targeted training
  - Comprehensive workshop for local and VDOT staff
- Place additional emphasis on quarterly reporting and results



### **Future Discussion**

 Future Transportation Bill could significantly modify Enhancement program funding and how program is administered

 Existing projects underway represent a funding gap in excess of \$140M



### **Next Steps**

- Implement streamlining opportunities available
  - Conduct survey of sponsors to identify issues
- Provide enhanced/targeted training
- Present potential policy revisions to the Board for consideration